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Greener offshore oil and renewable energy developments.

Cleaner, cheaper and faster

We help our clients to produce energy from offshore wind farms and marginal oil fields.

By: Jørn Haugvalstad 01-2020
The development team

O&G based knowledge utilized through green energy

Engineering team

EICT Solutions for Remote Operation and Monitoring
Kairos a/s

Structural design verification
Gudme Stad a/s

Seabed soil interface mechanics
Norwegian Geotechnical Institute

Dedicated NUI crane development
Melcal Marine

Collaboration partners

EPC partner
Worley Parsons – Rosenberg
Platform construction and Load out

Ocean Installer
Marine installation

WISE group
Offshore positioning and levelling

Contractor supply chain
Offshore wind Turbines

Wartsila
Installation vessel interface

Technology, Project owner and Manager
Jørn Haugvaldstad

Platform advisor
Asbjørn Tansø

Structural and Marine design advisor
Prof. Ove T. Gudmestad
Siv. Ing. Petter Vabø

Financial advisor
Tor Eskeland – via RFFV

3rd party verifications
Independent analysis, testing and verifications of Structural design and Marine operations executed by SINTEF Ocean, University of Stavanger, University College of Cork – Ireland and University Patras in Greece

This document and the information it contains is confidential and is the property of Green Entrans AS. It may not be reproduced, communicated to third party, or used in any manner whatsoever without the consent of Green Entrans AS.
Government and politics
Ministry of Petroleum and Energy - Guidelines

Norway shall:

• Paris agreement – Contractual obligations to reduce emissions.

• Greener Industry - Prioritize renewable energy production and «Green» industry projects. Finance institutions will favorize financing solutions for «Green» projects.

• Continued Oil and Gas production, but «make the industry Greener».

• Offshore wind developments are preferred over onshore wind – Visual pollution from onshore wind favors offshore wind developments where 3 offshore licences being sanctioned.
Norwegian Petroleum Directorate (NPD)
NCS – Focus areas

- **New Technology is needed.** NPD sees new technology to be the key to more create value on the Norwegian shelf.

- **Marginal fields** near existing infrastructure is one priority area.

- To make **marginal fields profitable**, the strategy is to apply new cost-effective technology.

- **Technology qualification processes** needs to be speeded up.

NPD has now opened for a parallel development of PUD’s and Technology qualification.
The Green offshore marked
Identified marked needs

• Green, low cost marginal field development solutions
• Green petroleum field developments
• Make existing fields greener (modifications)
• Offshore windfarm development

In near future: Wind / Petroleum / Hydrogen hybrids.
Our solutions

**MC-7 Offshore solutions with integrated MINT installation solution**

The novelty of the technology is that complete offshore units can be assembled and tested onshore, transported offshore and installed in one piece without using heavy lift cranes.

**MINT Transport and Installation – MC-7 Petro**

**Wind turbine – Installation**

**MINT Transport and Installation – MC-7 Wind**

**MC-7 Petro and MC-7 Wind structures**

Patents reference: # 340946 and 340965 - Norway
MC-7 Petro

Area of use

- The MC-7 Petro is a low cost, «subsea on sticks» type NUI platform solution designed to make marginal fields profitable. All visits will be by a W2W gangway deployed from a SSV.

- The design covers water depths down to 150 meter and can accommodate up-to 12 well slots. The solution is more cost-effective in developments with more than 3 wells, especially when frequent well interventions are required.

- The MC-7 Platform has the following offshore O&G area of use:
  - Unmanned wellhead platform (tie-in or full process)
  - Riser platforms
  - Transformer stations and distributions for onshore power supply to oilfields
  - Wind turbine driven power supply to platforms (Fuel cell option)
  - Pipeline booster stations
  - Wind turbine driven water injection
  - Hydrogen production
Drilling alternatives

*Mobile unit alternatives*

Drilling in the field’s late life is more cost effective with vessel than drilling with conventional JU-rig.

Drilling method selectable from JU-rig, Modular Mobile Drillrigs supported by Vessels, anchored Semi-submersible DRG’s or Tender Rig.

**Flexible selection of Drilling Methods gives schedule advantages and contract negotiation edge**

The Modular Drill Rig Equipment is powered and supported through Hoses & Umbilicals from the Support Vessel/Semi-sub.

Flexible lay-out for alternative Drilling methods focusing on simultanoulsly drilling, production and well intervention requirements
Well intervention
Well stimulation – Wireline – Coiled tubing

• Well Interventions are executed with modular units transported offshore by the Support Vessel and loaded/erected by the Platform Crane.

• The operation is supported from a DP Service Vessel.
Offshore wind technology considerations

Seabed - fixed turbine structures is more favorable in cost and risk scenario compared to floating/anchored turbines.

MC-7 Wind solution advantages:

- **CAPEX** - Construction cost, onshore completion and offshore transport & installation as one complete unit.
- **OPEX** – Main component replacement hoisting to/from vessel.
- **ABEX** – Reversed installation and towing with one vessel.
- **RISKS** – In a disaster assessment from loss of anchors an uncontrolled floating scenario risks to loosen anchors and/or damage other near by units. It also represent a general risk for other traffic at sea.

In an equal disaster assessment for a bottom fixed unit this will fall to the seafloor without risking damage to other units.

Considerations

Present monopile foundation technology is unsuited in waterdepths beyond 50 msw.

Carbon Thrust JIP conclusion:

Anchoring of permanent structures shallower than 100 msw is neither economically nor technically recommendable.
Our installations method

*Example: Turbine size 10 MW – 120 meter above sea level*

Seabed fixed structures for waterdepths 50 to 100 ++ meters installed as complete units.
Operating and IMR solutions

_Turbine sizes 10 – 15 MW – 130 meter above sea level_

1. Integrated lifting rigging solution for re-installation replacement of turbine parts.
2. Two groups of Service and repair tasks. Tasks requiring more than 24hrs downtime shall be executed onshore by interchangeable component replacement.

This document and the information it contains is confidential and is the property of Green Entrans AS. It may not be reproduced, communicated to third party, or used in any manner whatsoever without the consent of Green Entrans AS.
Sessation or Re-use

MC-7 Reverse installation removal

MC-7 Wind – Removal after service
The Green solution

Reduced emissions compared to present methods

- Reduced CO2 and NOX emissions
- Renewable - Zero emission energy production (Wind and Petro/Wind hybrid solutions)
- Smaller installation vessels and complete unit installations
- Unmanned units – random IMR visits by boat
- Lighter steel structures - Reduced emission during production
- Decommissioning by reversed installation process using one vessel

Our MC-7 solutions will have a high score in financial packages rewarding carbon emissions reductions.
Time for questions
Industrial development trends

*Offshore energy transformation*

---

**Petroleum**

The petroleum industry is struggling with:
- low oil prices
- increasing emission fees/taxes
- political negative reputation

Development cost targets are:
- profitable solutions for 40 USD/Bbl or better.

Technology trends are:
- Digitalization
- Autonomus operation of Normally unmanned (NUI / UWP's) and subsea installations
- Remote operation of offshore installations from centralized onshore control room(s)

---

**Offshore wind energy**

The offshore wind industry is still at a pioneering stage continuously striving to become competitive to the onshore energy cost.

Technology trends are:
- Bigger turbines
- Bigger rotor diameters
- Higher towers (above water level)
- Further away from shore in deeper waters
Operational criteria

Recommended and Survival

**Recommended maximum criteria - Transport:**
- $H_s$ 3 meter
- Wave periods 8-9 seconds
The transport survives $H_s$ 4 in 30° heading.

**Recommended maximum criteria – Installation:**
- $H_s$ 2.5 meter
- Wave periods 8-9 seconds
The installation survives $H_s$ 3 in 30° heading

*Note:*
The recommended criteria is based on specific vessel and barge sizes/designs. The criteria's may change when using other floating units.

**Installation vessel stability:**
The operational feasibility has been confirmed by Ocean Installer verifying the test loads against the CSV Normand Vision. Stability capacity is confirmed.
Further structural analysis in the stern deck beam structure will be required per project.